

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A non-singular, local ${}^{1}S_{0}$ neutron-proton potential

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1972 J. Phys. A: Gen. Phys. 5 L125

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3689/5/11/016)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.72 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 04:28

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

A non-singular, local ${}^{1}S_{0}$ neutron-proton potential

M W KERMODE

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Liverpool, PO Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK

MS received 3 August 1972

Abstract. A non-singular, local ${}^{1}S_{0}$ n-p potential is introduced. This potential gives phase shifts for n-p scattering which agree, within experimental error, with the experimental phase shifts.

The purpose of this letter is to introduce a local potential which gives a good fit to the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ n-p phase shifts (δ) of MacGregor *et al* (1969). This is a report of the first stage of work in which it is hoped that similar potentials for the other angular momentum and isospin N-N states can be obtained. This work is an attempt to extend that of Hamada and Johnston (1962) and Reid (1968) to include potentials with shapes not unlike those found by Sprung and Srivastava (1969) and at the same time to obtain a better fit to the experimental data. The resulting potentials should then be very useful for nuclear calculations, for example, the three-body problem (Hennell and Delves 1972).

The n-p potential V is a very complicated quantity and it will probably be a long time before it can be determined. In principle, once V is known the Schrödinger equation can be solved to give phase shifts at all energies and from these phase shifts one can, again in principle, solve the inverse scattering problem and construct an equivalent local potential V(r), that is, one which gives the same phase shifts as V. Here r is the distance between the neutron and the proton.

In the present work it is taken for granted that V(r) exists. An approximation to V(r) is constructed using the following arguments. It is known that the n-p potential V, and hence V(r), has as its asymptotic form, the well known OPE potential, that is,

$$V(r) \sim -14.947 \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mu r/r}, \qquad \mu = 0.7 \,\mathrm{fm}^{-1}.$$

Suppose that f(r) = rV(r) is a sufficiently smooth function. Then under the transformation $x = e^{-\mu r}(f(r) \rightarrow g(x))$, g(x) can be written in terms of Legendre polynomials, that is,

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \mathbf{P}_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n.$$

Hence

$$f(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e^{-n\mu r}$$

Furthermore, since the phase shifts are not known beyond a laboratory energy of 460 MeV, the potential V(r) cannot be well determined in the vicinity of r = 0. Therefore, we take V(0) = 0.

Table	1
Table	1.

n 	<i>V(n)</i> (MeV fm)	V(n+1) (MeV fm)	V(n+2) (MeV fm)
1	14.947	- 190-252	1634.987
4	- 1041.816	2798.761	-16629.85
7	2412·788	-24141.64	159461.9
10	145437 0	146515.4	-2937508

Table 2.

E _{lab} (MeV)	δ _{exp} (deg)	δ_{pot} (deg)	Δδ _{exp} (deg)	$ \delta_{exp} - \delta_{pot} $ (deg)
	(=====)	((408)	(408)
1	6 2 ·43	62.43	0.01	0.00
2	65·03	65·05	0.03	0.02
3	65.35	65.40	0.06	0.05
4	65.06	65.12	0.08	0.06
5	64.53	64.61	0.11	0.08
6	63.91	63 ·9 9	0.14	0.08
8	63.57	62.65	0.20	†
10	61.23	61.31	0.26	0.08
12	59.95	59·99	0.32	0.04
14	58.73	58.73	0.37	0.00
16	57.56	57.52	0.42	0.04
18	56.46	56.36	0.47	0.10
20	55.41	55.25	0.52	0.16
25	52.96	52.66	0.62	0.30
30	50.73	50.29	0.71	0.44
40	46.72	46.07	0.86	0.65
50	43.16	42.39	0.98	0.77
60	39.90	39.11	1.10	0.79
70	36.89	36.15	1.21	0.74
80	34.08	33.44	1.33	0.64
90	31.45	30.95	1.44	0.20
100	28.97	28.64	1.55	0.33
120	24.41	24.45	1.74	0.04
140	20.31	20.73	1.88	0.42
160	16.62	17.38	1.97	0.76
180	13.27	14.32	2.02	1.05
200	10.22	11.50	2.04	1.28
220	7.45	8.87	2.02	1.42
240	4.92	6.42	2.00	1.50
260	2.61	4.11	1.97	1.50
280	0.49	1.93	1.96	1.44
300	-1.46	-0.15	1.98	1.31
320	3.25	-2.12	2.04	1.13
340	- 4.90	-4·01	2.16	0.89
360	- 6.41	- 5.82	2.32	0.59
380	- 7.81	- 7.55	2.53	0.26
400	-9·10	-9.23	2.78	0.13
420	-10· 29	<i>−</i> 10·84	3.07	0.55
440	11.39	-12.40	3.39	1.01
460	-12.41	- 13.91	3.73	1.50

† Perhaps the value of δ_{exp} at 8 MeV should be $62{\cdot}57^{\circ}?$

The potential V(r) was approximated by the potential

$$W(r) = -\sum_{n=1}^{14} V(n) \frac{e^{-n\mu r}}{r},$$

where V(1) = 14.947 MeV fm and V(13) and V(14) were chosen to make W(0) = 0. The values of V(n) listed in table 1 give the phase shifts δ_{pot} in column 3 of table 2. Each of these phase shifts is in very good agreement with the corresponding experimental value $\delta_{exp} \pm \Delta \delta$ (except at 8 MeV where it would appear that δ_{exp} should be 62.57°).

The potential W(r) is zero at the origin, has a maximum value of about 11440 MeV at 0.09 fm, is zero at 0.57 fm, has a minimum value of about -139 MeV at 0.72 fm and tends to zero as r tends to infinity.

It is interesting to note that if it were not for the sign of V(10) the signs of the V(n) would alternate. It is even more interesting to note that for the expansion in terms of Legendre polynomials, that is

$$W(r) = -r^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{14} U(n) P_n(e^{-\mu r}),$$

the signs of the U(n) do alternate. Truncated values of these coefficients are given in table 3.

n	U(n) (MeV fm)	U(n+1) (MeV fm)	U(n+2) (MeV fm)
0	-3.36×10^{5}	+9·38 × 10 ⁵	-1.36×10^{6}
3	$+1.53 \times 10^{6}$	-1.47×10^{6}	$+1.25 \times 10^{6}$
6	-9.40×10^{5}	$+6.36 \times 10^{5}$	-3.83×10^{5}
9	$+2.06 \times 10^{5}$	-9.70 × 10⁴	$+3.93 \times 10^{4}$
12	-1.35×10^{4}	$+3.38 \times 10^{3}$	-7.21×10^{2}

Table 3.

Full details of the method used to determine the V(n) will be given when the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ p-p phase shifts have been fitted: the potential given in this letter does not provide a good fit to the p-p data. The p-p case is slightly more complicated since the Coulomb and vacuum polarization potentials must be taken into account.

I wish to thank Professor L M Delves for suggesting that I look for local potentials to fit the nucleon-nucleon scattering data.

References

Hamada T and Johnston I D 1962 Nucl. Phys. 34 382-403 Hennell M A and Delves L M 1972 Phys. Lett. 40B 20-2 MacGregor M H, Arndt R A and Wright R M 1969 Phys. Rev. 182 1714-28 Reid R V 1968 Ann. Phys. 50 411-48 Sprung D W L and Srivastava M K 1969 Nucl. Phys. A 139 605-24